

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,180	08/27/2001	Hideyuki Harada	P/1071-1440	7067	
75	590 12/16/2003		EXAM	INER	
STEVEN I. WEISBURD DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 41ST FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			MAYES, M	MAYES, MELVIN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathcal{A}$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		09/941,180	HARADA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provided present of the provided present of the provided above, the maximum statutory period of the provided present of the provided present of the provided provided present the provided	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 100	October 2003.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
-	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
* ( 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign language production of the foreign language production of the first sentence of the ference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applica nity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification of evisional application has been re c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No yed in this National Stage yed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmen		<del>(***)</del> .				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

(1)

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: it should read "wherein said sintered plate of fired first ceramic functional material is disposed in said cavity..."

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(2)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

(3)

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-12, 14, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kodama et al. 5,277,723.

Kodama et al. disclose a method of producing a multilayer ceramic body comprising: making a multilayer ceramic capacitor by layering and firing electrode printed and via-wired green sheets of barium titanate; printing green sheets of borosilicate glass and alumina filler with via wirings and surface wirings; in some of the green sheets, punching holes larger than the made capacitor; layering green sheets and the capacitor so that the capacitor is positioned inside the laminate and the electrodes and via-wiring of the capacitor are connected to the wirings of the green sheets; sandwiching the laminate between dimensionally stable, constraining-force-applying alumina porous plates; firing at 900°C; and removing the porous plates. The green

Art Unit: 1734

sheets comprise 75 vol% borosilicate glass powder. Kodama et al. further disclose that the fired built-in structure can be a functional parts such as a capacitor or contain many small parts such as chip capacitors, resistors and coils (col. 7, lines 26-58, col. 13, lines 50-68, col. 27, line 28 – col. 28, line 51).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(4)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

(5)

Claims 8, 13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama et al. in view of Nomura et al. 5,335,139.

Kodama et al. disclose that the green sheets comprise 75 vol% borosilicate glass powder and 25 vol% alumina powder filler. Kodama et al. does not disclose that the multilayer ceramic capacitor has a thickness of 100 µm or less.

Nomura et al. teach that in making a multilayer ceramic chip capacitor, each dielectric layer preferable has a thickness up to about 50 µm, especially up to about 20 µm and lower thickness limit of about 0.5 µm, preferably about 2µm, and the number of dielectric layers stacked is generally from 2 to about 300, preferably from 2 to about 200 (col. 6, lines 26-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the multilayer ceramic capacitor in the multilayer ceramic body of Kodama et al. of a thickness of 100 µm or less, as Nomura et al. teach that in making a ceramic chip capacitor, the number of

Art Unit: 1734

stacked dielectric layers is preferably from 2 to 200 and the thickness of the dielectric layers is preferably about 2  $\mu$ m up to about 20  $\mu$ m. By making the capacitor by laminating green sheets (dielectric layers) of number and thickness within the preferred ranges as suggested by Nomura et al., a capacitor (sintered plate) of thickness which encompasses the thickness range of 100  $\mu$ m or less, as claimed, is provided.

## Response to Arguments

(6)

Applicant's arguments filed October 10, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that in the present invention, by providing the sintered plate, warping or distortion of the surface of the laminate during firing can be retarded. Applicant argues that there is no teaching in Kodama of a plurality of green layers, a green layer having a cavity, a sintered plate of fired first ceramic functional material in the cavity, or the sintered plate having an area smaller than the area of the primary face of the green layer on which it is arranged.

**(7)** 

With respect to the argument that the sintered plate is provided to reduce warping of the surface of the laminate, according to the present specification the restriction layers prevent the green layers of the substrate from shrinking in the direction of their primary faces. There is no description of the sintered plate being used to reduce warping or distortion as argued.

Kodama et al. disclose providing borosilicate green sheets (a plurality of green layers); punching holes larger than the capacitor in some of the green sheets (a green layer having a

Art Unit: 1734

cavity); providing a multilayer fired ceramic capacitor of barium titanate layers and electrodes (a sintered plate of fired first ceramic functional material); layering green sheets including those with the holes and the fired capacitor so that the capacitor is positioned inside the laminate in the holes (a sintered plate of fired first ceramic functional material in the cavity and the sintered plate having an area smaller than the area of the primary face of the green layer on which it is arranged). A fired capacitor placed in a hole in a green sheet of a stack of green sheets would inherently have an area smaller than the area of the green sheet on which it is arranged (see Figures 18 and 19). Kodama thus clearly discloses the present invention as claimed.

### Conclusion

(8)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Branchevsky discloses embedding a capacitor in a substrate by providing LTCC tape layers, one having a cavity, and placing in the cavity dielectric layers which may be fired ceramic tape before firing the tape layers (col. 5, lines 33-35)

(9)

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 1734

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

(9)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 703-308-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Melvin Curtis Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734 Page 6

MCM December 11, 2003